Boston Massacre 2013 : What Will Be The First Right-Wing Conspiracy Theory To Appear Tonight?

Author : michaelbloomer

Michael J. Matheron, April 15, 2013

From the smoke and carnage of the Boston Marathon finish line, right-wing conspiracies will infest the web - and perhaps, GOP Tea Party types - as early as tonight. The two especially unhinged examples below relate to the April 1995 Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building bombing in Oklahoma City:

From PrisonPlanet

> **1995 Oklahoma City bombing conspiracy theory example 1.** Bill Hughes reports in The Secret Terrorist: There were liberty-restricting measures in Congress just prior to the Oklahoma City bombing that were stalled. Right after the bombing they were immediately passed.

The Omnibus Counter Terrorism Act of 1995 was on a slow track in Congress and the subject of a lively debate as to whether it would violate some fundamental civil liberties, including the right to confront one's accuser.

Now, after the Oklahoma City bombing, there are few surer legislative bets in Washington. Democrats and Republicans issued news releases Thursday calling for the bill's quick passage. — Terror in the Heartland: Terrorism Bill Moves Very Fast, Orlando Sentinel, April 21st, 1995 (emphasis supplied).

President Clinton prodded Congress on Friday to move swiftly on his anti-terrorism legislation and avoid political 'endless quibbling' over details. 'We must not doddle or delay. Congress must act, and act promptly.' His 1.25 billion anti-terrorism package would expand law enforcement's investigative and enforcement powers and toughen penalties for certain crimes. Republicans have reacted favorably to the proposals Clinton put forward on Wednesday, one week after the Oklahoma City bombing. — Clinton Urges Swift Action on Anti-terrorism Legislation, Orlando Sentinel, April 29th, 1995.

The purpose of the Oklahoma City bombing was to get Congress to pass the anti-terrorism bill without debate. If a debate had taken place, the issues of constitutional liberties and the creation of a police state would have been raised. The Jesuits in Congress prefer that the police state be implemented without the public noticing by creating a climate of national hysteria using a staged terrorist attack. The bill sailed through with no debate or discussion.

1995 Oklahoma City bombing conspiracy theory example 2. Gen. Partin points out it is possible there was no Ryder truck at all, and no crater from a Ryder truck explosion. The building blew out from the inside, with bombs in the building and unexploded bombs reported to have been found. Later the Jogging CIA agent finds a real axl from a ryder truck.

Quote from: Ben Partin

It is impossible that the destruction to the building could have resulted from such a bomb alone.

To cause the damage pattern that occurred to the Murrah Building, there would have to have been demolition charges at several supporting column bases, at locations not accessible from the street, to supplement the truck bomb damage. Indeed, a careful examination of photographs showing the collapsed column bases reveals a failure mode produced by demolition charges and not by a blast from the truck bomb…

Blast through air is a very inefficient energy coupling mechanism against heavily reinforced concrete beams and columns…

By contrast, heavily reinforced concrete structures can be destroyed effectively through detonation of explosives in contact with the reinforced concrete beams and columns… The Murrah Federal Building was not destroyed by one sole truck bomb. The major factor in its destruction appears to have been detonation of explosives carefully placed at four critical junctures on supporting columns within the building. The only possible reinforced concrete structural failure solely attributable to the truck bomb was the stripping out of the ceilings of the first and second floors in the 'pit' area behind columns B4 and By. Even this may have been caused by a demolition charge at column B3. — Benton K. Partin, Bomb Damage Analysis Of Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building, July 30, 1995, (emphasis supplied).

The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms had offices in the Murrah Building. On the day the Murrah building was bombed, none of the ATF agents came to work that morning. The ATF agents, who had children in the day-care center, did not drop their children off that day. There were no ATF agents or their children on the casualty list of the Oklahoma City bombing. — Freedom Network News, June/July 1996, pp. 5, 6.

Mark Boswell interviewed 28 year CIA veteran James Black and assistant Ron Jackson regarding sworn affidavits now in their possession, sworn by two Justice Department officials which state that they were part of a 'Committee of 10' who planned the Oklahoma bombing. — Martin O. de Brook, Cherith Chronicle, May-July, 1995, page 5.

Chandra Levy knew too much

The reputed purpose of Chandra was to infiltrate. To use her womanly wiles to find out things. She reportedly had a boyfriend in the FBI. She became an intern in THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS, the winter and spring leading up to the date set originally, May 16, 2001, for the execution of Timothy McVeigh, described by the pressfakers as "the lone bomber".

[The complete conspiracy theory attributed to "Skolnick's Report" has to be seen and read to be believed.]

Past is prologue. What will motivate the Boston Marathon massacre conspiracy theorists? My guess: gun control proposals struggling for life in Congress. Here are two lines of attack We ought to expect:

1. The Obama administration and Democrats in general engineered the massacre to

guarantee passage of what right-wingers call "radical infringement of their 2nd Amendment rights," and "gun grabs," *i.e.* background checks, etc.

2. Another route we can expect will reinforce the above: Armed people in the crowd, openly carrying their pistols, or hefting their rifles or AR-15's would have

A. Dissuaded the bomber(s) from carrying out the plan; and

B. Persons with weapons might have apprehended or fired upon the perpetrator(s).

They'll push this, as they always do, despite evidence that more people with more firearms leads to more people prematurely in more graveyards. Pure and simple, despite Heinlein's well-known - and ludicrous - quote, an armed society is *not* a polite society.